

**Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of)	
)	
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking)	
18 FCC Rcd 13187, 13188 ¶1 (2003))	ET Docket No. 03-137
)	
And)	
)	
Service Rules for the Advanced Wireless Services)	WT Docket No. 12-357
H Block---Implementing Section 6401 of the)	
Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of)	
2012 Related to the 1915-1920 MHz and)	
1995-2000 MHz Bands ¶53 footnote 95)	

To: Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, DC 20554

Comment Filed by: Ana Maria Cerrudo
2 Orchard Street
Montebello, New York 10901
Anamaria912@optonline.net
(845-357-34778)

February 4, 2013

AFFIDAVIT OF ANA MARIA CERRUDO

State of NEW YORK

Rockland County]

I, Ana Maria Cerrudo, attest that my statements are true to the best of my knowledge.

Comment round for ET Docket No. 03-137 and WT Docket No. 12-357.

1. My name is Ana Maria Cerrudo My address is 2 Orchard Street, Montebello, New York 10901
2. I am Unemployed/Retired
3. Dozens of scientific studies have shown negative impacts of EMR on human health, even at low levels of exposure. The most commonly accepted guidelines are the Building Biology recommendations that list 1,000 microwatts/m² as the threshold for “extreme concern”
(http://www.baubiologie.de/downloads/english/richtwerte_2008_englisch.pdf). On May 31, 2011, the World Health Organization's International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) classified radiofrequency fields as “possibly carcinogenic to humans.” The FCC does not use biologically determined guidelines that affect health, but rather uses a standard that measures thermal heating of biological tissue. The premise that there are no adverse impacts of EMR on the human body until it is cooked is completely ridiculous.
4. **(Use concise (numbered) paragraphs to describe your interest and involvement that support your desire to change the FCC RF safety guidelines. We provided some sample text below. Please briefly discuss your personal experience with electromagnetic radiation (EMR). E.g.):**
I am an individual who is very sensitive to electromagnetic radiation. Approximately a year ago, I began having symptoms of headaches, dizziness and nervous system problems. Like most people, I had a cordless phone and a wireless modem near my computer. I had no idea of the risks posed by these devices to my health. When I measured the EMR emitted by these devices, the readings were greater than 2,000 microwatts/m² for each of these devices. As soon as I turned these devices off, I

immediately started feeling better.

5. Dozens of scientific studies have shown negative impacts of EMR on human health, even at low levels of exposure. The most commonly accepted guidelines are the Building Biology recommendations that list 1,000 microwatts/m² as the threshold for “extreme concern”

(http://www.baubiologie.de/downloads/english/richtwerte_2008_englisch.pdf). On May 31, 2011, the World Health Organization's International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) classified radiofrequency fields as “possibly carcinogenic to humans.” The FCC does not use biologically determined guidelines that affect health, but rather uses a standard that measures thermal heating of biological tissue. The premise that there are no adverse impacts of EMR on the human body until it is cooked is completely ridiculous.

6. I urge the FCC to adopt new RF safety guidelines that take into account published research on the biological effects brought on by the ability of RF signals to communicate with living tissue, and more specifically, to consider the Building Biology guidelines for human health.

Respectfully submitted by

Ana Maria Cerrudo

2 Orchard Street

Montebello, New York 10901-3712

February 4, 2013